1.       The heading

         It’s an ‘action’ that’s ‘brought’ for JR in Scotland, rather than a claim that’s issued, and

        Make clear the action will be brought in the Court of Session (so they know they’ll need a Scottish advocate etc)

so we suggest the heading is changed to:

**‘Proposed  judicial review action to be brought in the Court of Session against the Secretary of State for Work and Pensions (DWP) by…..’.**

2.       There isn’t a Pre-action Protocol for JR in Scotland, unless it’s an immigration / asylum case, so the following sentence in the first paragraph should be deleted:

‘We write in accordance with the Pre-action Protocol for judicial review’.

3.       It’s ‘respondent’ not ‘defendant’ in Scotland, so that needs changed throughout.

4.       JR grounds are the same in Scotland, so the ‘legal issues and grounds for JR’ sections can remain the same. (The names of the remedies are slightly different, but they’re the same in essence, and they’re not named in the letters anyway – they just outline the action the defendant (/respondent) is expected to take).

5.       There’s no expectation to attempt ADR (although it is available), so that paragraph can probably be deleted.

6.       The response time limit is the same, so no change needed there.